

The 7th FIDE World Cup in Composing

$Section \ D-Endgame \ Studies$

Final award by

Vladislav Tarasyuk

 $M\,M\,X\,I\,X$

Participants

D01	S. Hornecker (DEU)	D
D02	A. Sergiienko (UKR)	D
D03	M. Zinar (UKR)	D
D04	P. Rewitz (DNK)	D
D05	A. Almammadov (AZE)	D
D06	S. Didukh (UKR)	D
D07	V. Samilo (UKR)	D
D08	M. Minski (DEU)	D
D09	A. Pallier (FRA)	D
D10	P. Kiryakov (RUS)	D
D11	A. Gasparyan (ARM)	D
D12	Y. Bazlov (RUS)	D
D13	V. Neistadt (RUS)	D
D14	P. Arestov (RUS)	D
D15	Y. Afek (NLD)	D
D16	B. Djuraševic (SRB)	D
D17	R. Becker (USA)	D
D18	M. Pastalaka (UKR)	D
D19	G. Østmoe (DNK)	

- 20 U. Sayman (TUR)
- 21 D. Keith (FRA)
- 22 M. Miljanic (SRB)
- 23 S. Nielsen (DNK)
- 24 I. Aliev (AZE)
- 25 J. Kristiansen (DNK)
- A. Jasik (POL)
- 27 A. Avni (ISR)
- E. Kopylov (RUS)
- 29 D. Hlebec (SRB)
- 30 A. Sochnev (RUS)
- 31 Z. Mihajloski (MKD)
- 32 A. Avedisian (URY)
- 33 C. Yoo (USA)
- 34 L. González (ESP)
- 35 O. Pervakov (RUS)
- 36 A. Stavrietsky (RUS)
- 37 V. Syzonenko (UKR)

n accordance with the rules of the 2019 World Cup, tourney Director Alexander Bulavka sent me for judging 37 endgame studies in anonymous form on uniform diagrams and with standard solution texts.

The entries belonged to all sorts of trends; many of them, however, featured well-known ideas. Therefore, when estimating the endgame studies I focused primarily on the originality of the way the authors expressed the concept and successful how their technical presentation was. Preference was given to compositions with internal links in the play forming a clear-cut scenario climaxing in the effect of the final position.

To support and maintain the high status of the tournament, 10 endgame studies were included in the award; according to the author's opinion and taste, they are the most interesting ones while demonstrating great variance in style. The prize winners stand out among them; their clear and attractive solutions are best at popularizing the realm of endgame composition.

The other entries, for various reasons, failed to stand the competition and so they authors can use them at their own discretion.

D01, D02 - pawn-based entries with play of little interest, devoid of surprises or unexpected moves.

D04 - a stalemate idea with a lonely white king facing two black knights and final sacrifice of white bishop is not new, conf. G.Kasparyan (Československý šach, 4th Prize, 1977), but more unpleasant is White's first move, a capture.

D05 - final play as in J. Moravec (28 Rijen, 1924), but ... with three superfluous in the finale.

D09, D10, D32 - no distinct idea behind the dramatic (pieceexchanging) events of these endgame studies.

D11 - little original content compared to N. Pandzhakidze's endgame study (Merani, 1986).

D12 - the author conceived an interesting idea of blockade of black queen and knight; but it would be nice to see it without the somewhat heavy introduction involving corner moves of two standing rooks of both sides.

D13 - the concluding positional draw involving 15 (!) pieces does not impress at all.

D14 - the visually attractive "mini" leaves dual impression. ล Unfortunately, the enigma of its scenario is revealed only beyond the limits of the solution: the picture becomes perfectly clear (White wins) as many as seven moves after the end of the main solution line, when special accuracy is no longer required of White.

D15 - EGTB confirms that, instead of the sacrifice 10. @a2+, White also wins after 10. @f2+.

D16 - in technical terms, White succeeds in holding the black passers, but there is no visible artistic element in how it happens.

D17 - two echoed mates on the 3rd and 13th moves of the solution; but the impression is more reduced by the solving difficulty of the byvariants.

D19 - an endgame study with a wellknown mate looks a bit boring anyway: pieces fight one another within a small space, not daring to leave the place where the play begins and ends. The forced sacrifices of the doomed rooks of both sides can hardly generate an emotional response.

D20 • the short play of pieces with the idea of promoting a pawn is not impressive, suggesting a need for elaborate the endgame study towards a more intense encounter of the sides.

D21 - an endgame study with two bifurcations and a couple of white queen sacrifices varying in value; the shorter variant is obviously inferior to the main one, which features a final mate by knight and decoy 9.營f1! already shown by I. Akobia (E. Minerva-50 JT, 4th Prize, 2012).

D22 - the idea was presented in a nicer and more economical way by A. Maksimovskikh (Bulletin of the Central Chess Club of the USSR, 3rd h.m., 1970).

D25 - anticipated by F. Richter (Československý šach, 1954), as well as containing duals 5.g6+ and $6. \ge f3+$.

D26 - with final domination of the promoted queen; however, it is unpleasant to see that the rook standing in the center of the board (and in the epicenter of events) does not even make a single move.

D27 - one can see no link between the exchanges of pieces in the introductory part and the interesting culmination in the finale. **D28** - the middlegame play leads to a finale with two attractive model mates, but there is a lack of a bright point: the sacrifices $6.\underline{B}:f4+$ and $8.\underline{B}:d4+$ are forced.

D29 - the rook mate with four active blockings by pieces coming to the desired squares in the course of the play; but the composition is devoid of any subtle or bright moves, while the concluding (and only) sacrifice of the white king is always a standard in such concepts. Moreover, the final picture is spoiled by the presence of the black pawn h6.

D31 - in the solution, the wellknown final pawn promotion to a rook is supplemented with a second variant, in which the play is uninspiring and uninteresting.

D35 - the implementation of the synthesis of a foresight effect and the final sacrificial extravaganza of the white knight (a similar idea, but with two knight sacrifices, was presented Pogosyants. by Е. (Schakend Nederland, sp. comm., nevertheless impaired 1976) is (spoiling the impression) by the introductory part, which, in spite of its large-scale nature, is a bit too long; and also by the difficult variant 7.... 含c4 in which it is hard for White to win, the extensive maneuvering of the pieces are quite tiresome. Furthermore, the main play starting

from White's 8th move is marred by the fact that both queens and rooks leave the scene – the aggregate material is too heavy to justify the logic involving the d-pawn. It is very much hoped that the author will present his synthesis in a lighter form; in that case, the endgame study will be a clear contender for the highest places in tourneys.

D36 - dual 13.≝e7+ ≝g7 14.≌f7 ≌h8 15.≌:g7 +-.

D37 - Black is clearly weak, his king maneuvering senselessly instead of putting up a fight and coming up with solid arguments.

On the whole, the level of the tournament is reflected by the endgame studies in the award.

1st Prize – Gold medal SERGEY DIDUKH *Ukraine*



=

5+6

The black passed pawns are a powerful force, while the white passer is easy to hold. How can White save himself?

1. 金b5+! (The play begins with a battery salvo; bringing the bishop into play would be premature and erroneous: 1. 金g5+? 堂c7 2. 金b5+ 堂b6! 3.c7 a1堂 4.c8堂 堂f1+ -+) 1... 堂e8! (Weaker is 1... 堂c8 2. ዲf4! a1堂 3. 急a7#) 2.c7 and two thematic lines of play:

A) 2... &: c7 3. 2: c7+ 2f7 4. Ed7+! (4. 邕f4+? 営g8 5. 邕f8+ 営h7! -+) 4... \$\$\$ g8 5. \$\$ d8+ \$\$ h7 6. \$\$ d7+ \$\$ f7! 7.邕:f7+ 堂g6 This is the key position of the endgame study. 8. \Bg7+!! (An unexpected and accurate rook sacrifice! The similar 8. \Bf6+? \D2:f6 9. \D2 d2 a1\B 10. \D2c3+ ≝:c3+ is no good, since the king is in check.) 8 ... 2; g7 9. 2e3! a1 2 10.&d4+! ₩:d4 11.2e6+ ☆f6 12.2:d4 =

B) 2... & h5+! 3. & f4! (The right square to move to. 3. 凶g2? &:c7 4. 包:c7+ 営f7 5. 單d7+ 営g6 6. 單d6+ 常行 7. 萬d5+ 常e4 8. 萬d4+ 常:d4 9.\$h6 \$\ddotse4 10.\$\$g7 \$\$f3+ -+) 3... 急:c7+ 4. 包:c7+ 当f7 5. 旦d7+ 법명8! (5...법f6 6.ዹe3 a1별 7.ዹd4+ 8. \arrows: f7+ \arrows: g6 9. \arrows: f6+!! (Earlier. this was a losing move; now it ensures draw, while the previously saving move 9. \mathbf{B} g7+? now leads to 9...K:g7 10.&e3 a1≌ defeat: 11. & d4+ 響: d4+ and again with check!) 9... \$\$:f6 (9... \$h7 10. \$f7+ ģg8 11.≞f8+ =) 10.**≜d2! a1**≝ ≝:c3 11.&c3+! 12.囟d5+ once with the fork. again saving 12...Ke6 13.2:c3 =

excellent An example of development classical of the combinational direction - J. Fritz (Norodni Listy, 1933). The core of this endgame study consists in sacrificial combinations performed in a simple position; these are mirror-reflected in the homogeneity of play in the two thematic. skillfully intertwined variants. A minimal difference in the position of the white king (f3 vs. f4) brings about a unique interchange of sacrifices of white rook and bishop which form a "echo." geometrically strict It should be noted that the passiveness of the black knight, which is unrelated to the main idea, is compensated for by the natural-looking diagram position, the light construction and the

availability of tries with change of play – the additional strengths of this eye-catching find in the genre of endgame studies.

2nd Prize – Silver medal MARTIN MINSKI *Germany*



In the initial position, White has some chances to be saved in view of the black king's being slightly severed from his forces. The dramatic position promises double-edged play and these expectations are really met!..

1. $\underline{\underline{}}$ c4! (It would be adventurous to play 1. $\underline{\underline{}}$:b7? $\underline{\underline{}}$ d4+ 2. $\underline{\underline{}}$ e4+ $\underline{\underline{}}$ d:e4#) 1... $\underline{\underline{}}$ e3+ 2. $\underline{\underline{}}$ g3 $\underline{\underline{}}$ f2+ 3. $\underline{\underline{}}$ f4 $\underline{\underline{}}$ c6! 4. $\underline{\underline{}}$ a2+! (The purpose of this unexpected maneuver will not be clear until the finale. The try 4. $\underline{\underline{}}$:d3+? $\underline{\underline{}}$:d3 5. $\underline{\underline{}}$:d6+ $\underline{\underline{}}$:d6 6. $\underline{\underline{}}$ d8 $\underline{\underline{}}$ g3+ 7. $\underline{\underline{}}$:g3 $\underline{\underline{}}$ g5+ 8. $\underline{\underline{}}$ f2 $\underline{\underline{}}$:d8 leads to defeat, while the capture of the queen is again punished by a mating attack: 4. $\underline{\underline{}}$:c6? $\underline{\underline{}}$ d4+ -+) 4... $\underline{\underline{}}$ d2 5. $\underline{\underline{}}$:d2+

A witty encounter of pieces (the irony of the plot dictates that White should twice refuse to capture the queen in the starting phase), which becomes hotter and more inspiring with each move, ends with a fork-blow by the knight at the very conclusion of the play (the difference in the black king's final position on d2 vs. d3 plaving the key role). In the solution. White performs ิล logical preliminary maneuver (4.營a2+!) in order to sacrifice his queen on the right square; and a couple of moves before the conclusion of play he needs to make an accurate move by his king 9. 2f2!, making everything planned happen. This cohesive concept, with sacrificial play by pieces of both sides (in cases like this one. sacrifices are not irritating) is presented in a fresh and (from the endgame study viewpoint) harmonious way.

3rd Prize – Bronze medal CHRISTOPHER YOO *USA*



The black king's unfortunate position at the end of the board allows White to organize an attack against him...

However, a trap is already set for White in the diagram position of this endgame study - through self-suggestive first move the White lets victory slip away: 1. Be5? \$\$b6! 2. 2d5+ [2.a5+ \$\$a7! (2... \$a6? 3. \$e2! \$f8+ 4. \$c5 \$:e2 5.\d3+ +-) 3.a6 \Bc8 =] 2...\dagaa6 3.c8\+ \B\:c8 4.\2c7+ \B\:c7 5.\Ba5+ ¢d7 8.營d5+ Фe7 9.堂e5+ Ċс8 10. 🛱 d5+ 11.\@e8+ Ϋ́b7 12. \Bb5+ \Bib5+! with draw; also 1. \$a5? 囟b3+! premature is 2.堂:b3 堂a6+ 3.堂b4 c1堂 -+. The correct way is to play 1. Eel! An amazing move which ruins Black's plans 1...增:e1 2. 空a5 包d3 3. 些b4! (To a square controlled by the knight! White would lose bv

playing 3. 当b3? 当:c3+ 4. 当:c3 c1当 5.c82+ \$\$h8+ _+) 3...₩:c3! (3...2:b4 4.2b5#) 4.c82+! First it is necessary to get rid of the c7pawn. 4...R:c8 5.營:c3 邕:c6! (Now 5...c1鬯 6.鬯g7+ 堂a8 is met with c1≌ 7.≌b7#) 6.≝:c6 7.≌a6+ (7.營b6+? 営a8 8.營a6+ - loss of 9. \$b4 \$\addarbox{ d4 10.g7 \$\addarbox{ c6+ 11. \$\addarbox{ c4}\$} ae7 12. 2d3 2b6 13. 2e4 2a5 14.\$\dd{g3!} 15.\$\dd{g3} \$\Dd{f5+} =) 7... 空b8 8. 些:d3 些:g5+ 9. 堂b5+! +-

А heated intriguing and encounter between the two sides, which are quite generous in spectacularly sacrificing the quartet of their major pieces. Remarkably, both White and Black consecutively refuse to capture the queens; and the play itself ends in advantageous exchange of the strongest pieces – a tool frequently used in over-the-board play. Still, the impression from the good and inventive play in the introductory part (White and Black are worth another) is somewhat one diminished by lack of luster in the finale itself.

Special Prize Steffen Slumstrup Nielsen *Denmark*



5+8

In the initial position, where the antagonistic kings are scattered on the opposite corners of the board, Black has far advanced passed pawns which seem poised to ensure his win. However, White aims at the black king; and White's right to make the first move enables him to start a victorious battle.

1. \$e5+ f6! (1...\$h7 2.\$:e4+ f5 3. 急:f5#) 2. 邕:f6! (One of the two possible captures is a hard-to-see trap: 2.\$;f6+? \$h7! [2...\$g7? 3. \Bd1 e3 4.h6 \Dd2h7 5.h:g7 e2 6.&e4+ \$\Begin{pmatrix} 6.&e4+ \$\Begin{pmatrix} 3.&:e4+ \$B_1\$ 3.&:e4+ \$B_2\$ 3.&:e4+ \$B \$\dd bh6 =) 2... \$\Bar{B}a7+ 3.\$\Bar{B}a6+ (3.\$\dd b1?) &a2+! 4.\\$c2 \\$g8 5.\\$:e4 \\$c4 =) 3... \Bg7 (3...Kh7 4.\Deltae4#) 4.\Bh6+ (4. 急:g7+? 凶:g7 -+) **4... 急h7** At this point. when Black seems to triumph. an irresistible attack follows: 5. \media f6! with switchback and ambush! Black has two alternatives:

A) 5... 萬a7+ (5...e3 6. 萬f8+ 桑g8
7.h6 堂h7 8. 桑;g7 e2 9. 桑e4#)
6. 堂b1 (6. 萬a6+? 堂g8 =) 6...e3+
(6... 堂g8 7. 桑d5+ +-) 7. 萬g6+ 萬g7
8. 桑;g7+ 堂g8 9. 桑d5# White acts faster than Black and mates.

B) 5... ≞g1+ 6. ≌a2 &g8+ 7. ≞f7+ (7. ≞e6+? ≡g7 -+) 7... ≞g7 8. &:g7+ ≌h7 9. &:e4# with another echoed mate.

A large-scale endgame study with dynamic piece play. The pivot of the wide encounter is the key a1-h8 diagonal. on which consecutive play of the white battery (step by step, White exploits the black king's lack of space) is combined with two variants of equal value leading to finales with echoed mates. In this entry, quite attractive is the active participation of actors directly involved in creating the conceptual plot; yet the play is somewhat schematic. As the to straightforward construction. however (technical pawns are often implementing inevitable when such concepts), it is seen as a positive point of the entry, which, by the way, is executed in Bohemian style; it is interesting to compare it with the endgame study of M. Havel, (2nd Prize, Norodni Listy, 1929), which also features battery play and two mates with the participation of three white pieces.

1st Honourable Mention ALEXEY SOCHNEV *Russian Federation*



+

6+8

Since Black has a queen and two passed pawns, his chances seem to be better; however, the company of White's minor pieces proves that the opposite is true.

1.f7 \magaaa (1...e:f4+ 2.2:f4 \magacac{1}{2}c8 3. ②:e6+! 堂f6 4.f8增+ 凿:f8 5. &c3+! ፟፟፟ዸ7 6. ፟፟፟፝፧f8, +-; 1...h4+ 2. ዾ፟h2! e፡f4 3.急:f4! 豐a8 4.急:e6+ 営f6 5.f8豐+ 營:f8 6.&c3+ −+) 2.f8營 ₩:f8 (2...h4+ 3.堂h2! [It would be wrong to play 3.堂f2? 營:f8 4.急:f8 b2 5. 28:e6+ 当f6, with draw - it appears that the e1-h4 diagonal is closed for the bishop] 3...增:f8 4.2:f8! b2 5.28:e6+! \$\$f6 6.2:h4+ b1\\ 10.囟e7+ Ϋ́h8 11.&f6#) 3. 急:f8 e:f4+ 4. 增h2! (A subtle move by the king; now Black has nothing else to do but accept White's winning arguments. Any other move by the white king lets victory slip away: 4. 호f2? b2 5. 요:e6+ 호g6

6.2:f4+ 2h6! 7.2f5 a2 8.2e6 b12! -+; 4.2g2? b2! 5.2:e6+ 2f6 6.\$c3+ \$\u03c9g6 7.\$:f4+ \$\u03c9g5 8.\$e6+ <u> ଅୁ6 9.2f8+ ଅୁ5 10.ዲd2+ ଅf6</u> 11.&c3+ \$\dots 12.&e6+ \$\dots g6\$ with equality – now the f1-h3 diagonal is closed for the other bishop; also wrong is 4.堂f3? b2 5.急:e6+ 堂f6 6.\$c3+ \$\dots g6 7.\$if4+ \$\dots g5 8.\$e6+ 법명6 9.소f1?? b1발 10.소d3+ 발:d3+ with check -+) 4...b2 (4...e5 5. &e6 b2 6. &a2 +-) 5. 2:e6+ 2g6 6. 2:f4+ **☆g5** (6...**☆**h6 is no rescue in view of 7.\$f5! a2 8.2e6 b12 9.\$h4 10.**\$g5#**) a1₩ 7.囟e6+ ₿g6 8.218+! 2g5 9.2d2+ 2f6 10.2c3+ ☆g5 11.2e6+ ☆g6 12.&f1! b1☆ 13.鼻d3+! 營:d3 14.囟f4+ ©°f5 15.2:d3+-

A logical endgame study with a foresight effect and a knight fork in the final act. The main idea consists in the king's unexpected trick 5. 堂h2! the sense of which is revealed only 6-8 moves later. The capture of the white motionless knight f4 in the solution is not necessary (업g3, &e1, &h3, 월g7 ģg5, ∆ ∆ a3, b3, d6, f5, h5; 1...f4+ 2. \$\ddot h2!), but the author uses it in view of the additional variant 2...h4+ with another case of foresight displayed by the white king (also with repetition of the high point on the h2-square) and mating attack. If Black displayed more ingenuity in his play and queens were not e: changed in the introductory part, the entry would most likely be placed higher in the award.

2nd Honourable Mention VLADIMIR SAMILO *Ukraine*



Black's material advantage is compensated for by the presence of far advanced white passers. In this connection, there is no doubt as to White's first move.

1.d7 \$\u00e9e7 2.\u00e4d2 \u00e4c3+ 3.\u00e9b2! (3. \$\ddot b4? \Bb3+ 4. \$\ddot c4 \Bd3 5. \$\Bd3 a2 2b3 6.a6 単:h5 7.a7 2a5+ 8.空b4 3.... 舀 d3 (3...笛b3+ _+) 4.\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{\$\text{4.}\text{{\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{{\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4.}\text{4 Ů:d7 7.a7 ≌h8 8.&c3 =) 4.Ξ:d3 e:d3 5.a6 当h8 6.d8增+! 空:d8 (6...邕:d8 7. &g5+ 堂e8 8. &:d8 d2 9.a7 d1凿 10.a8凿 =) 7.a7! 7.h6? 堂c8! 8.h:g7 ≞g8 9.堂:a1 ≡:g7, -+. 8.h6! 7.... \$e7! (The passer sacrifices itself to make the other one stronger. It would be too early to play 8. & b8? d2 9. a8增 d1增 10. & d6+!? 凿: d6 11. 凿: h8 凿f6+! 12. \$\mathbf{a}2 [12. \$\mathbf{b}1 \$\mathbf{a}b3 \$-+] 12... \$\mathbf{a}c2 \$ -+) 8...g:h6 (8... \Bc8 9.h7 =) 9. \Db8 d2 10.a8営 d1営 11.営a7+! (The other check is a losing one:

13.**≌e5+** \$Åd7 14.**≌**:h8 h515.빱g7+ Ġе6 _+) 11...增d7 12. &d6+! (12. \cong c5+? \cong f7! 13. \cong h5+ ģf8(f8) _+) **12...ģe6!** (12...ģ:d6 13. \u03c8 d4+ \u03c9 e6 14. \u03c8 g4+ =) 13. \u03c8 e3+ \$:d6 (13...ģf7! 14.營f3+! [14.\u00cf2+? \u00df2g8! 15.\u00cf2g3+ \u00cf2g7+ -+] 14... \$\u03c9g6 15. \$\u03c9g2+! [15. \$\u03c9g3+? 堂h7! 16.빨d3+ 堂g8 -+] 15...堂h7 16.≌e4+ Ϋ́g8 17.≌a8+! Ϋ́h7 18.營e4+ with positional draw) (14.營g3+? 14.営d4+! \$d5! 15.\u00cf3+ [15.\u00cfd3+ \u00cd2e6 16.\u00cfd3+ ¤e7! -+] 15... ¤e5 16. ₩e3+ ¤f6! -+) 14... 2e6 15. 2g4+! (The capture of the rook is punishable 15.營:h8? 16.\$:a1 ₩e5+. with ₩b5+! exchange of queens and defeat) 15... \$\$e7 16. \$\$g7+ \$\$d6 17. \$\$d4+! with positional draw. (17.營:h8? \\$\b2+!_+)

This endgame study, with its piece play that is by no means apparent, presents a comple: of heterogeneous ideas: the Roman sacrifice 6.d8≌, the point 8.h6!. refusals reciprocal to make captures. Quite unexpected is the original ending itself, with the nonobvious sacrifice of the white bishop 12. Ad6+!, which nullifies Black's efforts to win. It is noteworthy that the author tries to extend the intervals between the departures of some pieces from the board, making the actors live, move and choose the right squares leading to the conceptual finale in which Black, in spite of material settle advantage, has to for positional draw.

Special Honourable Mention MIKHAIL ZINAR Ukraine



=

3+4

The position of the white units is cheerless: Black's extra pawn is a serious argument for winning. And yet, the position is defendable...

1. 합f3 White cannot get by with a little help from his king 1... 합g7 2. 합e4!

A) 2....c6! This slow move leaves White with a hard choice. 3.営d3! (Try 3.\$d4? \$h6! zz, driving White into reciprocal zugzwang. 4.\$\dd c5 f5 5.\$\dd c6 f4 6.\$\dd b7 f3 7.堂:a7 f2 8.堂b7 f1堂 9.a7 堂b5+ 10.\$c7 \$a6 11.\$b8 \$b6+ 12.\$a8 ໘5 13.h6 ≌c7 14.h7 \\\\@c8#) 3...**堂h6** (3...堂h7 4. 🖄 c4 Ϋ́h6 $5 \text{ \mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\mathcal{\math$ 5. \$c5 f4 -+ Now Black is in zugzwang and has to play) 4...c5+ $(4... \dot{a}:h5 5. \dot{a}c5 f5 6. \dot{a}:c6 =)$ 5. \$\$:c5 f5 6. \$\$d5! \$\$:h5 7. \$\$c6 f4 8. \$b7 f3 9. \$:a7 and White saves the game.

B) 2... 堂h7! 3. 堂d4! (Bad is 3. 堂d5?) 堂h6 zz 4. 堂c6 f5 5. 堂:c7 f4 6. 堂b7 f3 -+) 3... 堂h6 4. 堂d5! zz 4...c5! (4... 堂:h5 5. 堂c6 f5 6. 堂:c7! =) 5. 堂:c5 f5 6. 堂d5! and then play along the line of variation **A**.

A good development of the queen vs. pawn idea from the endgame study by M. Zinar (Georgian Internet Tourney, com., The highlights of the 2011).miniature included in this award include the well-thought-out actions of the white king in the two variants (3. 2d3! and 3. 2d4!) and the slow-looking move 6. 凶d5!; they determine the inner life of this endgame study - simple in form but interesting in content. If in the diagram position the white king is shifted to f3 and the white pawn from a6 to a5, this results in an additional choice on the first move (1.a6! \$\u00e9g7 2.\$\u00e9e4 and then as in the solution; the wrong choice is 1.\$\dd e4? a6! 2.\$\dd d5 \$\dd g7 3.\$\dd e6 c5! 4. \$\d5 \$\d5 b6 5. \$\d5 f5 6. \$\d5 f4 -+) with play of the pawn couple on the a-file.

The following endgame studies are awarded commendations ex aequo.

Commendation e.a. MIKHAIL PASTALAKA Ukraine



Looking at the initial position, one may think that it will not be particularly hard for White to win; but that impression is deceptive.

1... \: id4! (1... \\$f8+ 2. \\$g6(h5) \\$g7 3.\$e6 \$\hat{h}8 4.\$:d7 \$\ddot{2}:e3 5.d5 □ h4+ 3.堂g5 □ h2 4.e4 &d4=; 2.\$f7? \Bd6+ 3.\$h5 \$:e3 =; 2.e:d4? \$\lambda:d4 =) 2...\$:e3 (2...\$d6 3.\$\dd g5! \$\dd :e3+ 4.\$\dd f5 \$\dd d4 5.\$\Box f8! Ac3 6. Ef6 Ed8 7. Ag8 A: f6 8. 2: f6 ¤d6+ 9.☆g5 +-) 3.¤a8! ¤h4+ 4. 2g6 Ad4 Black's primary task is clear: to hold the white passer. 5. Ba3+ (5. Ba4? Bh2 =) 5... \$e4 6. 45+! (Victory slips away after 6. \alpha 4? \alpha h2 7. \Delta g4 \alpha e3 8. \alpha : d4 ¤:h7 =) 6... ஜd5 7. ¤a5+ ஜc4! (7... 含d6 is no rescue in view of 8. \alpha4 \alphad5 9. \Deltag4 \alpha:g4+ 10. \alphah5 邕g1 11.邕:d4+! +-) 8.邕a4+ 堂c3 9. **4**h3! **4**d3 (9... **3**:h3 10. **3**a3+ +-) 10.&g4! **∐**:g4+ (10...**¤**h2

11.邕:d4+! 알:d4 12.&h5 +-) 11.알h5 트g1 12.트:d4+! 알:d4 13.h8빱++-

A restrained-looking but, on balance, appealing endgame study in which the white duo $(\Xi + \Delta)$, by maneuvering skillfully. helps preserve and advance his h7-pawn to the promotion square. Very attractive are the two consecutive sacrifices of the bishop 9. Ah3! and 10. \$\gdeltag4! Black's first move, which is a pawn capture, however, does not make the preamble and the endgame study as a whole look any better. The final part of the play is reminiscent of the ending of the endgame study by M. Banaszek (Tidskrift for Schack, Prize, 1994).

Commendation e.a. ILHAM ALIEV *Azerbaijan*



The initial position is nice-looking; how about the play?!

1.e6! f:e6 (1...f5 2.e:d7 \$\Box\$c7 3.\$\Dot\$c2 a3 4. \$c1 b5 5.h4 +-) 2. \$:g6! (2.d:e6+? \$c7 3.e:d7 \$:d7 4.\$:g6 ẳe7 5.&:h7 ẳf7 =; 2.d6+? ẳc8 3.A:g6 a3 4.\$c1 e5 5.A:h7 Ae6 6.\$e4 \$g8 7.\$f5+ \$d8 8.h4 e4 9.h7 \$\Delta:h7 10.\$\Delta:h7 \$\Deltad7 11.h5 ☆:d6 =) 2...a3 3. ☆c1! (White has to choose squares for his king cautiously. Try 3. 2c2? e:d5 4. 2:h7 \$e6 5.\$e4 \$g8 6.\$:d5+?! \$:d5 7.h7 &e4+, with loss of pawn and 4. **&:**h7 defeat.) 3...e:d5 **&e6** 5. &e4! a2 (5...d:e4 6.h7 +-) 6. 2b2 **▲g8 7.▲**:**d5+!** (7.h7? **▲**:h7 8.**▲**:h7 \$c7 =) 7...\$:d5 8.h7 +-

This bishop ending seems to have been taken from an OTB game; in it, there is no limit to the white bishop's sacrifice for the sake of creating a passed pawn on the kingside. The motifs are similar to those in the endgame study by E. Onate (H. Lommer JT, 1 h.m., 1970), but the logical choice 3.Kc1! in this entry adds freshness to it.

Commendation e.a. Luis González Spain



White has no time to waste; so his plan is clear: to advance the e6pawn immediately...

¤:g5! (1...⊉:c2+ 1.e7 2. \$d1(or 堂f1) 包:a1 3. 罩a8+ 堂b2 4.e8幣 邕:g5 5.營h8+! +-.) 2.0-0-0! (The number of endgame studies with a castling increases year by year. Wring is 2. \$1? e:d2! [but not 2... Be5? 3.e8 BEe8 4. BEe8 e:d2 5.e4! 営b2 6.営e2! c3 7.邕b8! 営:a1 8.\$\d1 &c5 9.\$\Bar{a}c8 &e7 10.\$\Bar{a}:c3 법 월 11. 월 b3+ 법 a2 12. 법 d2 +-] 3.e4 \$\Delta b2! 4. \Delta d1 \Delta e5 5.e8 \$\Delta \Delta :e8 6. 邕:e8 c3! 7. 堂e2 急:a2 8. 邕:d2 c:d2 9.\$\d2 \$\overline{Aa5+!} =; 2.e8\$? \$\medskip{2g1#}\$ 2... \Bb5! 3. \Ba8+ (3.\2b1? 2c6+ 4. \$\text{\$\Delta\$1} \$\Delta\$:e7 =; 3.e8\$?? ⁄ຊ:a2#) 3... \$ a5 4. \Bia5+! Bia5 5.e8 \Bia5! (The self-suggestive 5.e8≌? leads Black's stalemate to rescue: 5...\$:a2+ 6.\$b1 7.d:c3 呂b5+ 8. 空a1 [8. 営:b5? – stalemate] 8... \Bb1+! 9.\Bc3:b1 - stalemate) 5...2:a2+ (White also wins after 5...e:d2+ 6.邕:d2! 急:a2+ 7.堂d1 +-) 6. \$b1 e:d2 (6... 2c3+ 7.d:c3 \Bb5+ 8. \$\mathbf{\mathbf{2}}a1 \ \mathbf{B}b1 + 9. \$\mathbf{\mathbf{2}}:b1 \ \mathbf{B}a4 - and there is no stalemate) 7.\B:d2! (7. \Be3+? \De2b4! [7...c3? 8. \Be3d2! +-] නc3+ 9.⊑:c3 ත්:c3 8. ¤:d2 =) 7... \Bb5+ 8.\2a1 \2c3 9.\Ba8+ +-

An endgame study that is useful for OTB players on account of the initial position and the spirit of the struggle, which is close to what is observed in an OTB game; it is decorated with castling, an underpromotion to rook (phoenix), and sacrifices of pieces. However, this endgame study is lacking in a real high point; and the concluding moves do not look impressive against the background of previous play. A different interpretation of the finale is also possible: 7... 包c3+ 8. \$c1! 8. \$a1? \$b4+ 9. \$b2 \$a2+ ¤b1#: 10. Åc1 ₿a1+ 11. \$b2 8... 2a2+9. 2d1 2c3+10. 2e1 with return of the king to his initial square and win.

In conclusion, I would like to thank all the participants in the tournament and congratulate the laureates, as well as to wish all of you, your families and friends good health – and necessarily new creative discoveries and sporting successes!