



The 10th FIDE World Cup in Composing

Section A – Twomovers

Final award by

Vjacheslav Pilchenko

MMXXII

Participants

- | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| A01 Majoros B. (HUN) | A20 Khramtsevich M. |
| A02 Fomichev E. | A21 Litvinov A. (LTU) |
| A03 Torras A. (ESP) | A22 Piliczewski B. (POL) |
| A04 Uris M. (ESP) | A23 Crăciun O. (ROM) |
| A05 Abdullaev E. (AZE) | A24 Kovačević M. (SRB) |
| A06 Javadzade S. (AZE) | A25 Subotić M. (SRB) |
| A07 Zamanov V. (AZE) | A26 Sovík S. (SVK) |
| A08 Mosiashvili G. (GEO) | A27 Kuzovkov A. |
| A09 Gasparian A. (ARM) | A28 Svítek M. (CZE) |
| A10 Mlynka K. (SVK) | A29 Sorochan V. |
| A11 Delobel B. (FRA) | A30 Slesarenko A. |
| A12 Markovcij V. (UKR) | A31 Syzonenko V. (UKR) |
| A13 Shifrin S. (ISR) | A32 Shpakovsky A. |
| A14 Murashev P. | A33 Gvozdják P. (SVK) |
| A15 Labai Z. (SVK) | A34 Doukhan G. (FRA) |
| A16 Guida M. (ITA) | A35 Gavrilovski Z. (MKD) |
| A17 Dimitrov O. (BUL) | A36 Pankratiev A. |
| A18 Gomez Palazon L. (ESP) | A37 Dyachuk V. (UKR) |
| A19 Feoktistov A. | A38 Stojnic M. (SRB) |
-

I received 38 anonymous entries from the tournament Director.

A large group of problems were eliminated from the number of contenders for awards due to anticipations (full or partial) or insufficient degree of originality, complexity and technical excellence:

- A1 ($\mathbb{Q}b1 \cdot \mathbb{Q}h4$, 8+3) – anticipation [yacpdb/83221](#);

- A2 ($\mathbb{Q}b1 \cdot \mathbb{Q}d4$, 10+8) – anticipation [yacpdb/453087](#);

- A11 ($\mathbb{Q}g2 \cdot \mathbb{Q}c4$, 9+6) – anticipation [yacpdb/42434](#);

- A22 ($\mathbb{Q}a6 \cdot \mathbb{Q}d5$, 9+6) – anticipation [yacpdb/408016](#);

- A31 ($\mathbb{Q}g5 \cdot \mathbb{Q}d4$, 7+12) – anticipations [yacpdb/471185](#) and [yacpdb/447223](#);

- A36 ($\mathbb{Q}b4 \cdot \mathbb{Q}d5$, 10+12) – non-original content with non-economic realization;

- A5 ($\mathbb{Q}f5 \cdot \mathbb{Q}d5$, 11+9) – step back from [yacpdb/35383](#) (10 ideological variations) and from highest task [yacpdb/328695](#) (11 variations!);

- A16 ($\mathbb{Q}g6 \cdot \mathbb{Q}e5$, 12+9) – compare to [yacpdb/552083](#);

- A30 ($\mathbb{Q}a2 \cdot \mathbb{Q}e5$, 12+11) – le Grand in Zagorukio with fresh elements in the scheme, but with a cumbersome position that cannot be compared with exemplary examples: [yacpdb/30023](#), [yacpdb/195981](#);

- A35 ($\mathbb{Q}e1 \cdot \mathbb{Q}e3$, 11+11) – little originality, adding try is refuted by capture of threatening piece. Compare to: [yacpdb/221565](#), [yacpdb/365101](#);

- A20 ($\mathbb{Q}g8 \cdot \mathbb{Q}e5$, 9+9) – “parasitic” try 1. $\mathbb{Q}d3?$ $\mathbb{Q}b3!$ With two threats. Compare to [yacpdb/382925](#);

- A28 ($\mathbb{Q}h8 \cdot \mathbb{Q}d5$, 9+10) – due to far-fetched tries (1. $\mathbb{Q}g8?$, 1. $\mathbb{Q}e7?$) and “parasitic” try with excess threat (1. $\mathbb{Q}f6?$ $\mathbb{Q}e4!$), the problem is much worse than following problems without such merits: [yacpdb/176178](#), [yacpdb/97132](#) and [yacpdb/234272](#);

- A8 ($\mathbb{Q}h7 \cdot \mathbb{Q}f5$, 12+9) – “parasitic” try 1. $\mathbb{Q}c8?$ $\mathbb{Q}:e5!$, unnecessary Ba1 in two last phases;

- A38 ($\mathbb{Q}h5 \cdot \mathbb{Q}e5$, 13+12) – judging by the content and mechanism, it is related to a series of works by Mihailo Stojnic. The instance is not successful: the decision phase is actually “extraneous”, and w $\mathbb{Q}c3$ is needed only in two tries.


If we add to this 5-6 entries of clearly low quality, and a couple of artistically unacceptable ones, then the picture is bleak.

For me, the hackneyed expression “average level of a tournament” has long been associated with the notorious “average temperature in a hospital” (sorry for the cliché). Another confirmation of this...

Meanwhile, the level of entries submitted for the award, in my opinion, is quite high, and the prize problems are of a very high quality.

The proposed award is as follows.

A14 – 1st Prize, Gold medal
PAVEL MURASHEV



#2

11+9

*1...f:e5 (a) 2. ♕:d6# (A)

*1... ♘f4 (b) 2. ♘:f4#

1. ♖g~ (♖c1)? - 2. ♘g4# (C), ♘:h7# (D)

1...d:e5 2. ♘d6# (A)

1...f:e5 2. ♘g5# (2. ♘d6? ♘f6!)

1... ♘e3! (closing)

1. ♖f6? - 2. ♘g5# (B) (2. ♘g4,
♘:h7, ♘:d6? ♘:f6!)

1... ♖f6 2. ♘g4# (C) (pin-mate,
2. ♘:h7, ♘:d6? ♘g5!)

1... ♘:f6 2.f8♘#

1... ♖g7! (closing)

1. ♖f4! – 2. ♘:d6# (A) (2. ♘g4?
♘:g4!, 2. ♘:h7? ♘:f4!)

1... ♘:d5 2. ♘g4# (C) (2. ♘:h7?
♘e6!)


1... ♘:d3 2. ♘:h7# (D) (2. ♘g4?
♘e4!)

1...f:e5 (a) 2. ♘g5# (B)

1... ♘:f4 (b) / ♘:f4 2. ♘g4# (pin-mate)

An original and solid plot based on White Correction with Threat Correction (in parallel), Neva theme and Caprice elements. Flight giving key double, dual avoidance of both threats and mates in variations. A significant place in the content is occupied by the themes of changing the functions of moves: Rudenko, double pseudo-Le Grand, cyclic pseudo-Le Grand (CA-BC-AB) and others. An unconventional logical-paradoxical project with an optimal design.

A27 – 2nd Prize, Silver medal
ALEKSANDR KUZOVKOV



#2

10+10

1. $\mathbb{Q}e2?$ - 2. $\mathbb{W}d5\#$ (A) / 2. $\mathbb{W}g4\#$ (B)

1... $\mathbb{Q}e4$ 2. $\mathbb{W}e6\#$ (C)

1... $\mathbb{W}e4$ 2. $\mathbb{W}f7\#$

1... $\mathbb{Q}f3!$

1. $\mathbb{B}a6?$ - 2. $\mathbb{W}e6\#$ (C)

1... $\mathbb{Q}e4$ 2. $\mathbb{W}g4\#$ (B)

1... $\mathbb{W}e4$ 2. $\mathbb{B}f6\#$

1... $c6!$


1. $\mathbb{Q}f4!$ - 2. $\mathbb{W}e6\#$ (C)

1... $\mathbb{Q}e4$ 2. $\mathbb{W}d5\#$ (A)

1... $\mathbb{W}e4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}h3\#$

Combination of Burmistrov and Zagoruiko 3x2. Black's play on the same square. A fresh nuance: all threats and responses to the move 1... $\mathbb{Q}e4$ are united by the white Queen's play. The mirror position of the black King on the diagram is a rarity for a twomover. The modest role of $\mathbb{W}Ba4$ in post-key phase.

A37 – 3rd Prize, Bronze medal
VASYL DYACHUK
Ukraine



#2

12+8

1. $\mathbb{Q}:e5?$ - 2. $\mathbb{W}:d6\#$

1... $d:e5$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}c4\#$ (A)

1... $g:f4$ (e) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:f4\#$

1... $B:c6!$ (d)

1. $\mathbb{Q}c5?$ (C) - 2. $\mathbb{W}e6\#$

1... $d:c5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$ (B)

1... $\mathbb{Q}:c5$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:c5\#$ (D)

1... $g:f4!$ (e)

1. $\mathbb{Q}:e5?$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}c4\#$ (A)

1... $d:e5$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$ (B)

1... $B:c6$ (d) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:c6\#$

1... $\mathbb{Q}d2!$

1. $\mathbb{Q}c5!$ (D) - 2. $\mathbb{W}:d6\#$

1... $d:c5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}c4\#$ (A)

1... $\mathbb{Q}:c5$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:c5\#$ (C)


1... $B:c6$ (d) 2. $\mathbb{Q}c4\#$ (A)

1... $g:f4$ (e) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:f4\#$

One of the more pithy problems with 4-phase reciprocal change of mates (BIT-2019 theme). The use of

the half-battery mechanism made it possible to enrich the content with Salazar's theme, the Dombrovskis effect with two black defenses and a change of mates for 4 defenses. The functions of two black moves change (refutation - defense). The loading of $w\ddot{e}$ in the final phase leaves much to be desired.

A34 – 4th Prize
GÉRARD DOUKHAN
France



#2

10+7

1.g:f6? - 2. $\ddot{w}e7\#$
1... $\ddot{w}e5$ (a) 2. $\ddot{b}e:e5\#$
1... $\ddot{b}h7$ 2.d5# (B)
1... $\ddot{b}f6$ 2. $\ddot{b}g5\#$
(1... $\ddot{b}d4+$ 2. $\ddot{b}:d4\#$)
1... $\ddot{b}g7!$

1. $\ddot{Q}a5?$ - 2.b4# (A) / d5# (B)
1... $\ddot{w}e5+!$ (a)

1. $\ddot{Q}c5?$ - 2.b4# (A) (d5? (B) - pin)
1... $\ddot{w}e5+$ (a) 2.d5# (B)
1... $\ddot{b}e4!$

1. $\ddot{Q}a3!$ - 2.d5# (B) (2.b4? (A) - pin)
1... $\ddot{w}e5$ (a) 2.b4# (A)
(1... $\ddot{b}f4$ 2. $\ddot{b}:e8\#$
1... $\ddot{b}:d4$ 2. $\ddot{b}:d4\#$)


Pin and unpin of the White Pawns b3 and d4. Pelle movement for 1... $\ddot{w}e5$ with changed mates 3x1 and Sushkov theme. Guidelli theme in 1. $\ddot{Q}c5?$: a defense give check and unpin a piece which parries the check giving check.

Dombro-Barnes attempt + Le Grand:
1.? (2.A#/ 2.B#) 1...a!
1.? (2.A#) 1...a 2.B#
1.? (2.B#) 1...a 2.A#.

The refutation of a thematic attempt by check in this matrix is extremely unusual, but justified by the tactical specifics of the content.

An unprecedented synthesis of popular modern and half-forgotten classical themes. The form is flawless.

A25 – 1st Honorable Mention
MÍROSLAV SUBOTIĆ
Serbia



#2

9+5


1. $\mathbb{Q}a6?$ (A) - 2. $\mathbb{Q}c7\#$, 1... $c3$
 2. $\mathbb{Q}:c3\#$ (B) 1... $\mathbb{Q}:d4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}b3\#$ (C),
 1... $c:d3+$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:d3\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}a3!$
 1. $\mathbb{Q}c3?$ (B) 1... $\mathbb{Q}:b4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}b3\#$ (C),
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:b4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:c4\#$ (D), 1... $\mathbb{Q}:d4!$

1. $\mathbb{Q}b3!$ (C)

1... $\mathbb{Q}:d4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}a6\#$ (A)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:b4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:b4\#$ (E)

Changed the functions of the 3 white moves (the first moves are mates), including the Salazar theme and the cyclic pseudo-Salazar. Changed mates 2x2 in 3 phases. Complete purity of changes in all phases. Particularly surprising is the purity of the embodiment of pseudo-Salazar's cyclical theme. I couldn't find any similar examples.

A33 – 2nd Honorable Mention
PETER GOVĐJÁK
Slovakia



#2

12+8

- *1... $\mathbb{Q}e1$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:b6\#$ (A)
 *1... $\mathbb{Q}g5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}d4\#$ (B)


1. $\mathbb{Q}c5?$ - 2. $e4\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}e1$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}c:c7\#$
 (C), 1... $\mathbb{Q}g5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}d4\#$ (B), 1... $c3!$
 1. $\mathbb{Q}f4?$ - 2. $e4\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}e1$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}c:c7\#$
 (C), 1... $\mathbb{Q}g5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}e5\#$ (D), 1... $\mathbb{Q}e3!$

1. $\mathbb{Q}d4!$ - 2. $e4\#$

1... $\mathbb{Q}e1$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:b6\#$ (A)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}g5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}e5\#$ (D)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}e3$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}f4\#$
 1... $\mathbb{Q}e5$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$

Four-phase alternately changed mates after 2 constant defenses. In other words, this is the Bartolovic theme in cyclic form (cyclic Bartolovic), which Hrvoje first introduced in 1957. Here are examples: [yacpdb/167783](https://yacpdb.org/db/167783), [yacpdb/240993](https://yacpdb.org/db/240993), [yacpdb/8923](https://yacpdb.org/db/8923). The author of A33 found a fresh scheme with the choice of the opening move of the white Bishop.

A24 – 3rd Honorable Mention
 MARJAN KOVACEVIĆ
Serbia



#2


11+5

- (1. $\mathbb{A}b1?$ $\mathbb{B}d3!$)
 1. $\mathbb{B}g5?$ - 2. $\mathbb{W}h7\#$, 1... $\mathbb{B}d3$ 2. $\mathbb{A}b1\#$
 (2. $\mathbb{W}h7?$ $\mathbb{B}e4!$), 1... $\mathbb{B}d7!$
 1. $\mathbb{W}h7!$ - 2. $\mathbb{B}g5\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}:c5$ 2. $\mathbb{B}:c5\#$
 (Black imitates White threat)
 1... $\mathbb{B}:e5$ 2. $\mathbb{B}f:e5\#$
 (White imitates black defence)
 1... $\mathbb{B}:d4$ 2. $\mathbb{B}f4\#$
 (White imitates black defence)
 1... $\mathbb{B}:d6+$ 2. $\mathbb{B}f6\#$
 (White imitates black defence)
 (1... $\mathbb{B}d3$ 2. $\mathbb{A}b1\#$)

Reciprocal change of 1st move and threat. All 4 thematic variations prepared by the unpinning key-move, $b\mathbb{B}$ cross + $w\mathbb{B}$ cross with complete geometrical correspondence.

The scheme is not new: [yacpdb/23785](#). For comparison, a sample with a different mechanism: [yacpdb/255019](#)

A13 – 4th Honorable Mention
 SEMION SHIFRIN
Israel




#2

7+5

1. $\mathbb{W}a1?$ - 2. $\mathbb{W}e1\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}d3$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{B}d6\#$ (C)
 1... $\mathbb{B}h1$ 2. $\mathbb{W}:h1\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}h3!$
 1. $\mathbb{W}a8?$ (A) - 2. $d6\#$ (B)
 1... $\mathbb{B}d3$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{B}:b4\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}e5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{B}d6\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}h8!$ (c)
 1. $d6!$ (B) - 2. $\mathbb{W}a8\#$ (A)
 1... $\mathbb{B}d3$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{W}:b4\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}e5$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{B}:e5\#$
 1... $\mathbb{B}h8$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{B}g5\#$

Meredith with an interesting geometry of the moves of the white Queen and the black Rook along parallel lines. Changed mates, reciprocal change of 1st move and threat.

A15 – 5th Honorable Mention
 ZOLTAN LABAI
Slovakia



#2 10+9

1. $\mathbb{Q}f7?$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}e5\#$ (A) 2. $\mathbb{Q}d6\#$ (B),
 1... $\mathbb{Q}g1!$


1. $\mathbb{Q}e6?$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}c7\#$
 1... $d:c3$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:c3\#$ (C)
 1... $c:b4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:b4\#$ (D)
 1... $e3!$

1. $c:d4?$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}e5\#$ (A)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:d4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}c3\#$ (C)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:d4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}d6\#$ (B)
 1... $c:d4!$

1. $b:c5!$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}d6\#$ (B)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:c5$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}b4\#$ (D)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:c5$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}e5\#$ (A)

Barnes theme, 3x2 change of play, transferred mates. A non-banal mechanism, but with the symmetry of the play and an excess of captures.

A10 – 1st Commendation
 KAROL MLYNKA
Slovakia



#2 9+9

1. $\mathbb{Q}g6?$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}e4\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}c3!$
 1. $f5?$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e6\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}:f5$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:f5\#$ (A), 1... $\mathbb{Q}g6$ (b) 2. $f:g6\#$ (B), 1... $c:b5!$


1. $\mathbb{Q}g7?$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}c7\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}e5$ (c)
 2. $\mathbb{Q}g2\#$ (C), 1... $e5$ (d) 2. $\mathbb{Q}f7\#$ (D),
 1... $c:b5$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}g2\#$, 1... $c5!$

1. $\mathbb{Q}a7!$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}c7\#$
 1... $\mathbb{Q}c5$ (e) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:a2\#$ (E)
 1... $c5$ (f) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:a8\#$ (F)
 1... $c:b5$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}g2\#$

Relative change in 3 phases and 2 variations (Z-32-66). 2 defenses each on the same e5 and c5 squares. Various strategic elements: total 4 pin-mates, unpin 1st moves, lines opening, switchback, Pelle move, etc.

Purity of change of play in the solution is broken. After the key 1... $e5$ is not defense, but 1... $e5$ 2. $Q:f7\#$ is in principle possible.

A26 – 2nd Commendation
 ŠTEFAN SOVÍK
Slovakia



#2

11+10

*1... $\mathbb{Q}:e4+$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{W}:e4\#$ (D) / 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e4\#$ (E)
 *1... $e:d6$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}g7\#$

1. $\mathbb{Q}b5?$ (A) - 2. $\mathbb{Q}g7\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}b2$ (a)
 2. $\mathbb{Q}a6\#$ (C) (2. $\mathbb{Q}a6??$ (X)),
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:e4+$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{W}:e4\#$ (D) (2. $\mathbb{Q}:e4?$ (E)), 1... $\mathbb{Q}c3!$ (b)

1. $\mathbb{Q}c4?$ (B) - 2. $\mathbb{Q}g7\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}c3$ (b)
 2. $\mathbb{Q}a6\#$ (C) (2. $\mathbb{Q}a6??$ (X)),
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:e4+$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{W}:e4\#$ (D) (2. $\mathbb{Q}:e4?$ (E)), 1... $\mathbb{Q}b2!$ (a)


1. $\mathbb{Q}a6!$ (X) - 2. $\mathbb{Q}g7\#$
 1... $\mathbb{Q}b2$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}b5\#$ (A)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}c3$ (b) 2. $\mathbb{Q}c4\#$ (B)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:e4+$ (c) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e4\#$ (E)

Synthesis of the themes of Banny and Vladimirov (in form of defenses). The complex has long been known, for example (+ double Erokhin):

<https://www.yacpdb.org/#30834>.

An addition to the main content of A26 is reciprocal change of squares of play of the white \mathbb{W} and \mathbb{Q} . Key is visible first.

A18 – 3rd Commendation
 LUIS GOMEZ PALAZON
Spain



#2

13+9


*1... $\mathbb{Q}\sim$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$
 *1... $\mathbb{Q}:f4!$ (a)

1. $\mathbb{Q}e2?$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}:f2\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}:f4$ (a)
 2. $\mathbb{Q}c4\#$ (E), 1... $\mathbb{Q}d1$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:d3\#$,
 1... $\mathbb{Q}e1!$
 1. $\mathbb{Q}e8?$ - 2. $\mathbb{Q}c6\#$, 1... $\mathbb{Q}:f4$ (a)
 2. $\mathbb{Q}a4\#$ (F), 1... $\mathbb{Q}b4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$,
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:b3!$
 1. $\mathbb{Q}:h7?$ (A) - 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$ (B) / $\mathbb{Q}:g5\#$ (D), 1... $\mathbb{Q}:f4$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:f4\#$ (C), 1... $g:h4!$

1. $\mathbb{Q}:g5!$ (D) - 2. $\mathbb{Q}:h7\#$ (A)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:f4$ (a) 2. $\mathbb{Q}:e5\#$ (B)
 1... $\mathbb{Q}:h4$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}g2\#$
 1... $\mathbb{Q}h3$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}f3\#$
 1... $h6$ 2. $\mathbb{Q}g6\#$

Zhuravlev + Volgograd. Bernard Type. Changed threats and changed mates 4x1.

A29 – 4th Commendation
VLADIMIR SOROCHAN



#2

13+5


- *1... ♜:e3 (a) 2. ♜f2#
- *1... f:e3 (b) 2. ♜:e4#
- *1... ♜:e3 (c) 2. ♜c3#
- *1... ♜e5 (d) 2. ♜h8#
- *1... e5 (e) 2. ♜c5#
- *1... ♜e5 (f) 2. ♜c2#

- 1.g3? ♜:e3! (a)
- 1.g4? f:e3! (b)
- 1. ♜c7? ♜:e3! (c)
- 1.g7? ♜e5! (d)
- 1. ♜b8? e5! (e)
- 1. ♜a5? ♜e5+! (f)

- 1. ♜h2! zz
- 1... ♜:e3 (a) 2. ♜g1#
- 1... f:e3 (b) 2. ♜d6#
- 1... ♜:e3 (c) 2. ♜c3#
- 1... ♜e5 (d) 2. ♜h8#
- 1... e5 (e) 2. ♜c5#
- 1... ♜e5 (f) 2. ♜c2#

Playing on same squares (e3 and e5). All defenses as refutations. Block. Selfblock. Changed mates 2x2.

A4 – 5th Commendation
MIGUEL URIS
Spain



#2

12+9

- 1.e4? - 2. ♜:c6# (A), 2. ♜g6# (B)
- 1... ♜:e4 2. ♜:c4# (C), 2. ♜f3# (D)
- 1... ♜f7 2. ♜:c6# (A), 1... ♜d5 2. ♜g6# (B)
- 1... ♜:g5!
- 1. ♜b1? - 2. ♜:c4# (C), 2. ♜f3# (D)
- 1... ♜:d2 2. ♜d4# (E), 2. ♜d6# (F)
- 1... ♜b3 2. ♜:c4# (C), 1... ♜b4 2. ♜f3# (D)
- 1... ♜d3!
- 1.e3! - 2. ♜d4# (E), 2. ♜d6# (F)
- 1... ♜:e3 2. ♜:c6# (A), 2. ♜g6# (B)
- 1... ♜:g5 2. ♜d4# (E), 1... ba1 ♜ 2. ♜d6# (F)
- 1... ♜:c5 2. ♜:b2#

Mai 2 cycle with threats separations (x3). For comparison, 2 long-standing problems (the authors did not attach importance to the complete separation of threats):

<https://www.yacpdb.org/#22727>

<https://www.yacpdb.org/#159929>

in <http://www.schach-udo.de/search5.php?search=159929&spalten=ProblemNr&submit=Suche>.

Vjacheslav Pilchenko,
August 08, 2022