

----- Weitergeleitete Nachricht -----

Betreff:Riabenko-case at the ISC

Datum:Sat, 28 Feb 2026 17:03:52 +0100

Von:Axel Steinbrink <axel.steinbrink@gmx.de>

An:Palmans, Luc <palmans.luc@skynet.be>, j.mestel@ic.ac.uk

Dear colleagues,

I have looked (again) at all the material that was sent by Arvydas.

In my opinion the statistical anomalie is one of the main arguments against this result.

Together with the suspicious notation (esp. in the first #3 and also in the studies) I would suggest to exclude this result from the overall result. As documentation of this strange "result" I would add it as unofficial result without rating at the bottom of the overall table. The same we did with the AZE-results one year before. So I would prefer nr 3 (exclude and set it as unofficial result without rating).

I agree with Arvydas that we should have a better automatism after this ISC (with Z-scores) to avoid that we have every year such a discussion and a big delay with the final results.

I don't know how to continue with this process now. Perhaps you send to me your decision and I will send the decision of the jury to Arvydas. He may inform the UKR-local controller (and Riabenko).

--

Kind regards / Freundliche Grüsse
Axel Steinbrink